arXiv:1301.2425v1 [math.AP] 11 Jan 2013

Existence, regularity and uniqueness of weak solutions for a class of incompressible generalized Navier-Stokes system with slip boundary conditions in $\mathbb{R}^3_+$ [*]

Aibin Zang

The School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Yichun University,
Yichun, Jiangxi, P.R.China, 336000
Email: zangab05@126.com

Abstract

We obtain the existence, regularity, uniqueness of the non-stationary problems of a class of non-Newtonian fluid is a power law fluid with $p > \frac{9}{5}$ in the half-space under slip boundary conditions.

Keywords: Non-Newtonian Fluid; Navier's slip boundary conditions; weak solution;
Mathematics Subject Classification(2000): 76D05, 35D05,54B15, 34A34.

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be an open set. For any $T < \infty$, set $Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$. The motion of a homogeneous, incompressible fluid through Ω is governed by the following equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \mathrm{div} S + (V \cdot \nabla) u + \nabla \pi = f, & \text{in } \ Q_T \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, & \text{in } \ Q_T \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0(x), & \text{in } \ \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

---

[*] This research is partially supported by NSFC(11201411) and Natural Science Funds of Jiangxi Science and Technology (20122BAB211004)

where u is the velocity, π is pressure and f is the force, V is chosen a solenoid vector function and tangential to the boundary of Ω, u_0 is initial velocity and $S = (s_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ is stress tensor. The above system (1.1) has to be completed by boundary conditions except that Ω is the whole space and by constitutive assumptions for the extra tensor. Concerning the former we can impose the following Navier slip boundary conditions

$$u \cdot n = 0, (S \cdot n)_\tau - \alpha u_\tau = 0, \text{on} \;\; \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \tag{1.2}$$

where α is the frictional constant.

Many extra tensors are characterized by Stoke's law $S = \nu D(u)$, where $D(u)$ is the symmetric velocity gradient, i.e.

$$D_{ij}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}\right).$$

Assume that ν is a constant and $V = u$, (1.1) is called incompressible Navier-Stokes equations.

However, there are phenomena that can be described by $\nu = \nu(|D(u)|)$ with power-law ansatz to model certain non-Newtonian behavior of the fluid flows, and they are frequently used engineering literature. We can refer the book by Bird, Armstrong and Hassager [15] and the survey paper due to Málek and Rajagopal [30]. Typical examples for this constitutive relations are

$$\begin{aligned} S(D(u)) &= \mu(\delta + |D(u)|)^{q-2} D(u) \\ S(D(u)) &= \mu(\delta + |D(u)|^2)^{\frac{q-2}{2}} D(u), \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

with $1 < q < \infty, \delta \geq 0$, and $\mu > 0$.

The mathematical analysis of these models started with the work of Ladyžhenska [33], [34], [35]. She investigated the well-posedness of the initial boundary value problem with non-slip boundary conditions, associated with the stress tensor (1.3). In 1969, J.L. Lions [36] proved some existence results for $p-$Laplacian equation with $p \geq 1 + \frac{2n}{n+2}$ and the uniqueness for $p \geq \frac{n+2}{n}$ under no-slip boundary conditions. In those papers, the authors applied the properties of monotone operator and Minty trick theory for the stress tensor satisfies the strict monotonicity and coercivity.

Over these years, Ladyžhenska's and Lions' work were improved in several directions by different authors. In particular, for the steady problem, there are several results proving existence of weak solution in bounded domain [22, 25, 26], interior regularity [1, 37] and very recently regularity up to boundary for the Dirichlet problem [5–11, 19, 20, 40]. Concerning the time-evolution Dirichlet problem in a 3D domain, J. Málek, J. Nečas, and M. Růžička [29] study the weak solution for $p \geq 2$. Later, L. Diening et.al have recent advances on the existence of weak solutions in [22] for $p > \frac{8}{5}$ and in [23] for $p > \frac{6}{5}$. There are also many papers dealing with regularity of for evolution Dirichlet boundary problems and we refer instance to [3, 4, 8–11, 16, 17]. In the three-dimensional cube with space periodic boundary conditions, there are a lot of literatures for the well-posedness of this model, we refer to the monograph [28] and papers [14, 21].

It should be emphasized that theoretical contributions mostly concern the homogenous boundary condition and space periodic boundary conditions. However, many other boundary conditions are important for engineer experiment and computation science. Commonly used boundary conditions are Navier-type boundary conditions, which were introduced by Navier in [38]. Newtonian fluid under Navier slip boundary conditions was studied by many mathematician, [12, 13] and [42]. However, there are not too many results for non-Newtonian fluid. In [5, 24], the authors investigated the regularity of steady flows with shear-dependent viscosity on the slip boundary conditions. M. Bulíček, J.Málek and K.R. Rajagopal [18] obtained the weak solution for the evolutionary generalized Navier-stokes-like system of pressure and shear-dependent viscosity on the Navier-type slip boundary conditions in the bounded domain.

In this paper, we consider the problem (1.1) with stress tensor S induced by $p-$potential as in Definition 2.1, when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3_+$, under the following slip boundary conditions

$$u \cdot n|_{x_3=0} = 0, \quad ((S(D(u)) \cdot n) - (n \cdot S(D(u)) \cdot n)n)|_{x_3=0} = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

In fact, this problem corresponds to the free boundary problem for the non-Newtonian fluids with free surface supposed invariable.

Since we choose the stress tensor induced by a $p-$potential, and then we will obtain the equivalent conditions:

$$u_3|_{x_3=0} = \left.\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_3}\right|_{x_3=0} = 0 \ (i = 1, 2). \qquad (1.5).$$

From these conditions, we extend to the external force term f and initial velocity u_0 to whole space by mirror reflection method and change (1.1) into a Cauchy problem. Hence, we can focus on the regularity estimates, uniqueness and existence of this Cauchy problem. Then one can obtain the existence of the solution by Galerkin Method in the half space.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, after recalling the notation and presenting some preliminary results, we give the definitions of the $p-$potential and weak solutions. We also present the existence of the divergence-free base with boundary conditions (1.5) in $W^{2,2}$. In section 3, we show some theorems for the existence, regularity and uniqueness of weak solutions for the system (1.1) with boundary conditions (1.5).

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will give some assumptions, function spaces and definitions for weak solution. We will show the Korn-type inequalities for unbounded domain and construction of the basis with boundary conditions (1.6). Let $M^{n\times n}$ be the vector space of all symmetric $n\times n$ matrices $\xi=(\xi_{ij})$. We equip $M^{n\times n}$ with scalar product $\xi:\eta=\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\xi_{ij}\eta_{ij}$ and norm $|\xi|=(\xi:\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Definition 2.1. *Let $p>1$ and let $F:\mathbb{R}_+\bigcup\{0\}\to\mathbb{R}_+\bigcup\{0\}$ be a convex function, which is C^2 on the $\mathbb{R}_+\bigcup\{0\}$, such that $F(0)=0,\ F'(0)=0$. Assume that the induced function $\Phi:M^{n\times n}\to\mathbb{R}_+\bigcup\{0\}$, defined through $\Phi(B)=F(|B|)$, satisfies*

$$\sum_{jklm}(\partial_{jk}\partial_{lm}\Phi)(B)C_{jk}C_{lm}\geq\gamma_1(1+|B|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|C|^2, \tag{2.1}$$

$$|(\nabla^2_{n\times n}\Phi)(B)|\leq\gamma_2(1+|B|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \tag{2.2}$$

*for all $B,C\in M^{n\times n}$ with constants $\gamma_1,\gamma_2>0$. Such a function F, resp. Φ, is called a $p-$**potential**.*

We define the extra stress S induced by F, resp. Φ, by

$$S(B)=\nabla^2_{n\times n}\Phi(B)=F'(|B|)\frac{B}{|B|}$$

for all $B\in M^{n\times n}\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}$. From (2.1), (2.2) and $F'(0)=0$, it easy to know that S can be continuously extended by $S(\mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0}$.

As in the [21] and [28], one can obtain from (2.1) and (2.2) the following properties of S.

Theorem 2.2. *There exist constants $c_1,c_2>0$ independent of γ_1,γ_2 such* *that for all $B, C \in M^{n\times n}$ there holds*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&S(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}, && (2.3)\\
&\sum_{i,j}(S_{ij}(B) - S_{ij}(C))(B_{ij} - C_{ij}) \geq c_1\gamma_1(1 + |B|^2 + |C|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|B - C|^2, &&\\
&\sum_{i,j} S_{ij}(B)B_{ij} \geq c_1\gamma_1(1 + |B|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|B|^2, && (2.4)\\
&|S(B) - S(C)| \leq c_2\gamma_2(1 + |B|^2 + |C|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|B - C|, &&\\
&|S(B)| \leq c_2\gamma_2(1 + |B|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|B|. && (2.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following part of this section, we will give some function spaces and the definition of weak solutions for the system (1.1).

$D(\mathbb{R}^3_+) = \{u \in C^\infty_0(\overline{\mathbb{R}^3_+}) : u_3 = 0 \text{ on } x_3 = 0\}, V_p(\mathbb{R}^3_+) = \overline{\{u \in D(\mathbb{R}^3_+) : \nabla \cdot u = 0\}}^{\|\nabla\cdot\|_{L^p}}$, $H = \overline{D(\mathbb{R}^3_+)}^{\|\cdot\|_{L^2}}$.

Denote $\Omega_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ : |x| \leq R\}$ for $R > 0$, then we have the corresponding spaces for domain Ω_R as follows,

$D(\Omega_R) = \{u \in C^\infty_0(\overline{\Omega}_R) : u_3 = 0 \text{ on } x_3 = 0\},\ V_p(\Omega_R) = \overline{\{u \in D(\Omega_R) : \nabla \cdot u = 0\}}^{\|\nabla\cdot\|_{L^p}}$, $H(\Omega_R) = \overline{D(\Omega_R)}^{\|\cdot\|_{L^2}}$.

Let $\Gamma^1_R = B_R \cap \{x_3 = 0\}$, $\Gamma^2_R = \partial B_R \cap \mathbb{R}^3_+$ and $Q = \mathbb{R}^3_+ \times [0, T]$, $Q^R_T = \Omega_R \times [0, T]$.

Definition 2.3. *Let $\frac{6}{5} \leq p < \infty$, under the assumption of Definition 2.1. Let $f \in H$ or $f \in V^*_p$, which is the dual space of V_p, and $u_0 \in H$ with $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$ in the sense of distribute. A vector function $u \in L^\infty(0, T; H) \cap L^p(0, T; V_p)$ is called a weak solution to (1.1) if the following identity*

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{Q_T}(u \cdot \partial_t\phi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t + &\int_{Q_T}(S(x, t, D(u)) - V \otimes u) : D(\phi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\\
&= \int_{Q_T} f \cdot \phi\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_+} u_0 \cdot \phi(0)\mathrm{d}x
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.6}
$$

holds for all $\phi \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}^3_+} \times [0, T])$ with $\mathrm{div}\phi = 0$, $\phi_3|_{x_3=0} = 0$, *and supp$\phi \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^3_+} \times [0, T)$.*

We need to recall the following Korn-type inequality (see Theorem 3-2 in [32].)

Theorem 2.4. *Let K be cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $p > 1$. If $\int_K |D(u)|^p \mathrm{d}x < +\infty$, then there is a skew-symmetric matrix A with constant coefficients such that*

$$\int_K |\nabla(u(x) - Ax)|^p \mathrm{d}x \leq C \int_K |D(u)|^p \mathrm{d}x$$

where the constant C does not depend on u.

The previous result leads to the following.

Corollary 2.5. *There exists a constant C depending only on p such that*

$$\|\nabla u\|_p \leq C\|D(u)\|_p$$

for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}^3_+})$.

Proof. Since the domain $\mathbb{R}^3_+$ is a special cone in $\mathbb{R}^3$, therefore, along the proof Corollary 1 in [27], it is easy to get the result by Theorem 2.5. ☐

To construct the basis in $W^{2,2}(\Omega_R)$ with the boundary conditions (1.5), we consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \nabla p = f, & \text{in } \Omega_R \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, & \text{in } \Omega_R, \\ u_3 = 0, \quad \dfrac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_3} = \dfrac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_3} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma^1_R, \\ \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma^2_R, \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

The following definition 2.6, Lemma 2.7 and its proof will be found in [31].

Definition 2.6. *By a weak solution of the problem (2.7) we mean a function $u(x) \in V_2(\Omega_R)$ such that*

$$(D(u), D(v)) = (f, v), \ \forall v \in V_2(\Omega_R)$$

Lemma 2.7. *Assume $f \in H(\Omega_R)$, then there exists a unique solution $(u(x), p(x))$ to problem (2.7) such that $u \in V_2(\Omega_R) \cap W^{2,2}(\Omega_R)$. Moreover, the following estimates hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|D(u)\|_{L^2(\Omega_R)} \leq C\|f\|_{H(\Omega_R)} \\ &\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2(\Omega_R)} + \|\nabla p\|_{L^2(\Omega_R)} \leq C\left(\|f\|_{H(\Omega_R)} + \|u\|_{V_2(\Omega_R)}\right) \\ &\|\nabla u\|_{L^3(\Omega_R)} \leq C\left(\|f\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{H(\Omega_R)}\|\nabla u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\Omega_R)} + \|u\|_{V_2(\Omega_R)}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where C is independent of u, f.

With the aid of previous lemma, one can prove the following proposition

Proposition 2.8. *The eigenvalue problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u+\nabla p=\lambda u, & in\ \ \Omega_R \\ \nabla\cdot u=0, & in\ \ \Omega_R, \\ u_3=0, \quad \dfrac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_3}=\dfrac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_3}=0 & on\ \ \Gamma_R^1, \\ \\ u=0 & on\ \ \Gamma_R^2, \end{cases}$$

$\lambda\in\mathbb{R},\ u\in V_2(\Omega_R)$ *admits a denumberable positive eigenvalue* $\{\lambda_i\}$ *clustering at infinity. Moreover, the corresponding eigenfunctions* $\{a_i\}$ *are in* $W^{2,2}(\Omega_R)$*, and associate pressure fields* $p_i\in W^{1,2}(\Omega_R)$*. Finally,* $\{a_i\}$ *are orthogonal and complete in* $H(\Omega_R)$ *and* $V_2(\Omega_R)$*.*

Proof. The mapping $A:\quad f\longrightarrow u$ defined by Lemma 2.7 is linear and continuous from $H(\Omega_R)$ onto $V_2(\Omega_R)$, into $W^{1,2}(\Omega_R)$. Since Ω_R is bounded, by Rellich Theorem, we know that $W^{1,2}(\Omega_R)\hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega_R)$ is compact. It is easy to know that operator A is a positive symmetric and self-adjoint operator on $L^(\Omega_R)$. Therefore, A possess an sequence of eigenfunctions a_i:

$$\begin{aligned} &Aa_i=\lambda_i a_i\ k\geq 0,\lambda_i>0,\ \lambda_i\to\infty \text{ as } k\to\infty \\ &(a_i,a_j)_{L^2}=\delta_{i,j},\ (D(a_i),D(a_j))_{L^2}=\lambda_k\delta_{i,j}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.7, we can get for each i, there exists p_i with the estimates (2.8). ☐

3 Main results and their proofs

To study the well-posedness of problem (1.1), we define a reflection as follows

$$u^*(x)=\begin{cases} (u_1(x_1,x_2,x_3),u_2(x_1,x_2,x_3),u_3(x_1,x_2,x_3)) & \text{if } x_3\geq 0; \\ \\ (u_1(x_1,x_2,-x_3),u_2(x_1,x_2,-x_3),-u_3(x_1,x_2,-x_3), & \text{if } x_3<0. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Next, we will show the existence, uniqueness of strong solutions to the problem (1.1). We give the definition of the strong solution for the problem (1.1) as follows

Definition 3.1. *We say a couple* (u,π) *is a strong solution to problem (1.1) if*

$$\begin{aligned}&u\in L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\overline{\Omega}))\cap L^p(0,T;W^{2,p}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\overline{\Omega}))\cap L^p(0,T;V_p)\cap L^\infty(0,T;H)\\ &\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\in L^2(0,T;L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\overline{\Omega}));\pi\in L^{p'}(0,T;L^{p'}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\overline{\Omega}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

where $p'=\frac{p}{p-1}$ *and satisfies the weak formulation*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\varphi\mathrm{d}x+\int_\Omega S(D(u)):D(\varphi)\mathrm{d}x+\int_\Omega(V\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\varphi\mathrm{d}x\\ =\int_\Omega\pi\mathrm{div}\varphi\mathrm{d}x+\int_\Omega f\varphi\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

holds for all $\varphi\in C_0^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ *and almost all* $t\in(0,T)$*, at same time, the boundary conditions hold in the sense of trace.*

At first, we provide the definition of difference and recall a well-known result. Fixed any domain $\Omega\subset\overline{\mathbb{R}^3_+},\Omega'\subset\subset\Omega$, and we put $\delta(\Omega',\Omega)=\mathrm{dist}(\Omega',\partial\Omega\setminus\{\mathrm{x}_3=0\})$.

Definition 3.2. *For* $g:\Omega\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^3$ *we set*

$$(\Delta_{\lambda,k}g)(x)=g(x+\lambda e_k)-g(x),\ x\in\Omega',0<\lambda<\delta(\Omega',\Omega),k=1\cdots3.$$

where e_1,e_2,e_3 *is the canonical base of* $\mathbb{R}^3$*. We shall omit the dependence on* k *where the meaning is clear.*

Lemma 3.3. *For any* $u\in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ *and* $0<|\lambda|<\delta(\Omega',\Omega)$ *it holds*

$$\|\Delta_{\lambda,k}u\|_{p,\Omega'}\leq|\lambda|\|u_{,k}\|_{p,\Omega}.$$

For above Ω,Ω' and $\delta(\Omega',\Omega)$, then the following theorem and lemma show that the regularity and uniqueness of the weak solutions to the problem (1.1).

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $\frac{9}{5}<p<2,f\in L^{p'}(Q_T)$*,* $V\in L^\infty(0,T;W^{2,2}(\Omega))$*,* $u_0\in V_2\cap H$ *satisfy the boundary conditions (1.5), and* S *be given by a p-potential from Definition 2.1. If* $u\in L^p(0,T;V_p)\cap L^\infty(0,T;H)$ *is the weak solution for problem (1.1), then this solution is also a unique strong solution to problem (1.1) such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,2}(\Omega'))\cap L^p(0,T;W^{2,p}(\Omega'))}\leq C(|\Omega'|,u_0,f,T,\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}),\tag{3.4}$$

$$\int_0^T\int_{\Omega'}(1+|D(u)|)^{p-2}|\nabla D(u)|^2\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\leq C(|\Omega'|,u_0,f,T,\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}).\tag{3.5}$$

$$\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times\Omega')}+\|\Phi(D(u))\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^1(\Omega'))}\leq C(\delta(\Omega',\Omega),|\Omega'|,u_0,f,T,\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}).\tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Firstly we extent the u_0 and force term f to the whole space by the reflection defined in (3.1), however, since $V \in W^{2,2}$, we need apply the extension of Theorem 5.19 in [2], Denote these functions by u_0^*, f^*, V^* respectively.

We begin to consider the Cauchy problem as follows

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - \mathrm{div} S(D(v)) + (V^* \cdot \nabla)v + \nabla \pi = f^*, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0,T) \\ \nabla \cdot v = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0,T), \\ v|_{t=0} = u_0^*(x), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

From [39], There exists a weak solution $u \in L^p(0,T;V_p(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ to problem (3.7), then by interpolation inequality, one follows that $u \in L^{\frac{5p}{3}}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. If $p > \frac{9}{5}$ then $p' < \frac{5p}{3}$, and $V^* \otimes u \in L^{p'}((0,t) \times B_a)$, since $V^* \in L^\infty$. Along the proof of Theorem 2.6 in [41], we know that

$$\|\pi\|_{L^{p'}((0,t)\times B_a)} \le C(\|u\|_{L^{p'}((0,t)\times B_a)} + \|S + f^*\|_{L^{p'}((0,t)\times B_a)} + 1)$$

where B_a is any ball of $\mathbb{R}^3$ with radius a, C only depends on p, T, f, u_0, a.

For any $\rho > 0$ such that $0 < \rho < \delta(\Omega', \Omega)$, Set $\Omega_\rho = \{x \in \Omega; \mathrm{dist}(x, \Omega') < \rho\}$. Now fix $r < \frac{1}{4}\delta(\Omega', \Omega)$, there exists a ball B_a, such that $\Omega \subset B_a$ Let us choose a cut-off function η such that $\eta \equiv 1$ on Ω_r, $\eta \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega_{2r}$, $0 \le \eta \le 1$ and $|\nabla \eta| < \frac{C}{r}$, $|\nabla^2 \eta| < \frac{C}{r^2}$ in Ω_{2r}, where the constant C depends only on the geometry of $\partial\Omega$.

If $|\lambda| < r$, it results that $\Delta_{-\lambda}(\eta^2 \Delta_\lambda u) \in L^2((0,T)\times\Omega_{3r}) \cap L^p(0,T;W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_{3r}))$, but it is not divergence free. Take $\Delta_{-\lambda}(\eta^2 \Delta_\lambda u)$ as a test function in the first equation of (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle u_t, \Delta_{-\lambda}(\eta^2 \Delta_\lambda u)\rangle + (S(D(u)), D(\Delta_{-\lambda}(\eta^2 \Delta_\lambda u))) + (V^* \cdot \nabla u, \Delta_{-\lambda}(\eta^2 \Delta_\lambda u)) \\ &= (\pi, \mathrm{div}(\Delta_{-\lambda}(\eta^2 \Delta_\lambda u))) + (f, \Delta_{-\lambda}(\eta^2 \Delta_\lambda u)). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \langle u_t, \Delta_{-\lambda}(\eta^2 \Delta_\lambda u)\rangle, \\ J_2 &= (S(D(u)), D(\Delta_{-\lambda}(\eta^2 \Delta_\lambda u))), \\ J_3 &= (V^* \cdot \nabla u, \Delta_{-\lambda}(\eta^2 \Delta_\lambda u)), \\ J_4 &= (\pi, \mathrm{div}(\Delta_{-\lambda}(\eta^2 \Delta_\lambda u))), \\ J_5 &= (f, \Delta_{-\lambda}(\eta^2 \Delta_\lambda u)). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $J_1 = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\eta \Delta_\lambda u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}$. Let $I_\lambda(u) = \int_{\Omega_{2r}} (1 + |D(u)(x + \lambda e_k)| + |D(u)|)^{p-2} |\eta \Delta_\lambda D(u)|^2 \mathrm{d}x$.

$$J_2 = 2\int_{\Omega_{3r}} S(D(u))\Delta_{-\lambda} sym(\Delta_\lambda u \otimes \eta\nabla\eta)\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega_{2r}} \eta^2(\Delta_\lambda(S(D(u)))\Delta_\lambda D(u))\mathrm{d}x$$
$$:= J_{21} - J_{22}.$$

Since (2.3),

$$J_{22} \geq C_1\gamma_1 \int_{\Omega_{2r}} (1 + |D(u)(x+\lambda e_k)| + |D(u)|)^{p-2}|\eta\Delta_\lambda D(u)|^2\mathrm{d}x = C_1\gamma_1 I_\lambda(u),$$
$$|J_{21}| \leq c\|S(D(u))\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})}\|\Delta_{-\lambda} sym(\Delta_\lambda u \otimes \eta\nabla\eta)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})},$$

Thus

$$\|\Delta_{-\lambda} sym(\Delta_\lambda u \otimes \eta\nabla\eta)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})} \leq |\lambda|\|\nabla sym(\Delta_\lambda u \otimes \eta\nabla\eta)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})},$$
$$\leq |\lambda| \left[\||\nabla\eta| sym(\Delta_\lambda u \otimes \nabla\eta)|\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})} + \|\eta sym(\Delta_\lambda \nabla u_{x_k} \otimes \nabla\eta)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}\right.$$
$$\left. + \|sym(\Delta_\lambda u \otimes \nabla\eta_{x_k})|\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}\right]$$
$$\leq 4C\frac{\lambda^2}{r^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})} + \frac{2C|\lambda|}{r}\left(\int_{\Omega_{2r}} |\eta\nabla(\Delta_\lambda u)|^p\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Since $\eta\nabla(\Delta_\lambda u) = \nabla(\eta\Delta_\lambda u) - (\nabla\eta)\cdot\Delta_\lambda u$, thus

$$\left(\int_{\Omega_{2r}} |\eta\nabla(\Delta_\lambda u)|^p\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{C|\lambda|}{r}\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})} + C_p\left(\int_{\Omega_{2r}} |\eta D(\Delta_\lambda u)|^p\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

However, by Hölder's inequality we have

$$\left(\int_{\Omega_{2r}} |\eta D(\Delta_\lambda u)|^p\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq I_\lambda(u)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{2r}} (1 + |D(u)(x+\lambda e_k)| + |D(u)|)^p\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}.$$

Hence

$$J_2 \leq \|S(D(u))\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})}\left(\frac{C\lambda^2}{r^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})} + CI_\lambda(u)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + \|\nabla u\|^{\frac{2-p}{2}}_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})})\right) - C\gamma_1 I_\lambda(u)$$
$$\leq (1 + \|\nabla u\|^{p-1}_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})})\left(\frac{C\lambda^2}{r^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})} + CI_\lambda(u)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + \|\nabla u\|^{\frac{2-p}{2}}_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})})\right) - C\gamma_1 I_\lambda(u)$$
$$\leq \lambda^2 C(|\Omega_{3r}|, r, \epsilon)\left(1 + \|\nabla u\|^p_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}\right) - (C_1\gamma_1 - \epsilon)I_\lambda(u).$$
$$|J_5| = |(f, \Delta_{-\lambda}(\eta^2\Delta_\lambda u))| \leq \|f\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})}|\lambda|\|\nabla(\eta^2\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{2r})}$$
$$\leq C(r,p)\lambda^2\|f\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})}\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})} + |\lambda|\|\eta^2\Delta_\lambda\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{2r})}$$
$$\leq |\lambda|I_\lambda(u)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + \|\nabla u\|^{\frac{2-p}{2}}_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})})\|f\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})} + C(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r})\lambda^2\|f\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})}\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}$$
$$\leq C(p, |\Omega_{3r}|)\lambda^2\left(\|f\|^{p'}_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})} + \|\nabla u\|^p_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})} + 1\right) + \epsilon I_\lambda(u).$$

From the estimate for pressure, divergence-free and the method above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|J_4| \le 2\left|\int_{\Omega_{3r}} \pi\Delta_\lambda(\eta\eta_i\Delta_\lambda u_i)\mathrm{d}x\right| \\
&\le C|\lambda|\|\pi\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})}\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(\eta\eta_{x_i}\Delta_\lambda u_i)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})} \\
&\le C\frac{1}{r^2}|\lambda|^2\|\pi\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})}\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})} + \frac{C|\lambda|}{r}|\lambda|^2\|\pi\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})}\|\eta\nabla\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{2r})} \\
&\le C\frac{1}{r^2}|\lambda|^2\left(\|\pi\|^{p'}_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})} + \|\nabla u\|^p_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})} + 1\right) + \epsilon I_\lambda(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate the term J_3. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &= \int_{\Omega_{3r}} \eta^2\Delta_\lambda V^*\cdot\nabla u\Delta_\lambda u\mathrm{d}x - 2\int_{\Omega_{3r}}\eta V^*\cdot\nabla\eta|\Delta_\lambda u|^2\mathrm{d}x \\
&:= J_{31} + J_{32}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{9}{5} < p \le 2$, then $2 < q = \frac{6p}{5p-6} < \frac{3p}{3-p} = p^*$, by Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{31} &= \|\eta\Delta_\lambda V^*\|_{L^6(\Omega_{3r})}\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^q(\Omega_{3r})} \\
&\le C|\lambda|\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}\|\nabla V^*\|_{L^6(\Omega_{3r})}\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^q(\Omega_{3r})} \\
&\le C|\lambda|\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}\|V^*\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega_{3r})}\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^q(\Omega_{3r})} \\
&\le C|\lambda|\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^q(\Omega_{3r})} \\
J_{32} &\le \frac{C}{r}\|V^*\|_{L^6(\Omega_{3r})}\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^q(\Omega_{3r})}\|\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})} \\
&\le C|\lambda|\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^q(\Omega_{3r})}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is implies

$$|J_3| \le C(\frac{1}{r}, |\Omega_{3r}|)|\lambda|\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^q(\Omega_{3r})}$$

Since $2 < q < p^*$, from the following interpolation inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L^q} &\le \|u\|^\theta_{L^{p^*}}\|u\|^{1-\theta}_{L^2}; \\
\|u\|_{L^q} &\le \|u\|^{\theta_1}_{L^{p^*}}\|u\|^{1-\theta_1}_{L^p},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = \frac{6-2p}{5p-6}, \theta_1 = \frac{12-5p}{2p}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_3| \quad &\le C(\frac{1}{r}, |\Omega_{3r}|)|\lambda|\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|^{(1-\alpha)(1-\theta_1)}_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})} \\
&\qquad \|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|^{(1-\theta)\alpha}_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|^{\alpha\theta+(1-\alpha\theta_1)}_{L^{p^*}(\Omega_{3r})} \\
\le \quad & C\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}|\lambda|^{1+Q_3}\|\nabla u\|^{1+Q_3}_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|^{Q_1}_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|^{Q_2}_{L^{p^*}(\Omega_{2r})}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= (1-\theta)\alpha = \frac{7p-12}{5p-6}\alpha, \ 0<\alpha<1,\\
Q_2 &= \alpha\theta + (1-\alpha\theta_1) = \frac{6-2p}{5p-6}\alpha + \frac{12-5p}{2p}(1-\alpha)\\
Q_3 &= (1-\alpha)(1-\theta_1) = \frac{7p-12}{2p}(1-\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega_{2r})} \le C\|\nabla(\eta\Delta_\lambda u)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{2r})} \le C\|D(\eta\Delta_\lambda u)\|_{L^p(\Omega_{2r})} \le CI_\lambda(u)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+|\nabla u|_{L^p(\Omega_{2r})}^{\frac{2-p}{2}}).$$

It infers that

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_3| &\le C\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}|\lambda|^{1+Q_3}\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}^{1+Q_3}\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}^{Q_1}I_\lambda(u)^{\frac{1}{2}Q_2}\left(1+|\nabla u|_{L^p(\Omega_{2r})}^{\frac{2-p}{2}Q_2}\right)\\
&\le C\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}|\lambda|^{1+Q_3}\left(1+\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}^{1+Q_3+\frac{2-p}{2}Q_2}\right)\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}^{Q_1}I_\lambda(u)^{\frac{1}{2}Q_2}
\end{aligned}$$

By Young's Inequality, we get

$$|J_3| \le C\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}|\lambda|^{(1+Q_3)\delta'}\left(1+\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}^{(1+Q_3+\frac{2-p}{2}Q_2)\delta'}\right)\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}^{Q_1\delta'}+\epsilon I_\lambda(u).$$

Then choose δ and δ' satisfy the following identities

$$\frac{Q_2}{2}\delta = 1,\ (1+Q_3+\frac{2-p}{2}Q_2)\delta' = p,\ \text{and}\ \frac{1}{\delta}+\frac{1}{\delta'} = 1.$$

From these identities, we can obtain $\alpha = \frac{(5p-6)(2-p)}{7p-12}$. Since $p > \frac{9}{5}$, thus $0<\alpha<1$, $(1+Q_1+Q_3)\delta' = 2$ and $\frac{Q_1\delta'}{2} < 1$. Therefore,

$$|J_3| \le C\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}|\lambda|^{(1+Q_3)\delta'}\left(1+\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}^{p}\right)\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}^{Q_1\delta'}+\epsilon I_\lambda(u).$$

Combined these relations of $J_1,\cdots,J_5$, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}^2 + (C_1\gamma_1 - 4\epsilon)I_\lambda(u)\\
&\le C\lambda^2\left(1+\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}^p + \|\pi\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})}^{p'} + \|f\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})}^{p'}\right)\\
&+ C\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}|\lambda|^{(1+Q_3)\delta'}\left(1+\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}^p\right)\|\eta\Delta_\lambda u\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}^{Q_1\delta'}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Since $(1+Q_1+Q_3)\delta' = 2$ and set

$$\begin{aligned}
r(t) &= C\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}\left(1+\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}^p\right),\\
h(t) &= C\left(1+\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_{3r})}^p + \|\pi\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})}^{p'} + \|f\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})}^{p'}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where C does not depend on λ, u. Hence (3.8) can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\frac{\eta\Delta_\lambda u}{\lambda}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}^2+(C_1\gamma_1-4\epsilon)\frac{I_\lambda(u)}{\lambda^2}\\&\leq h(t)+r(t)\|\frac{\eta\Delta_\lambda u}{\lambda}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}^{Q_1\delta'}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $p>\frac{9}{5}$,it is easy to know that $\frac{Q_1\delta'}{2}<1$. From Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\frac{\eta\Delta_\lambda u}{\lambda}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}^2+(C_1\gamma_1-4\epsilon)\frac{I_\lambda(u)}{\lambda^2}\\&\leq (h(t)+r(t))+r(t)\|\frac{\eta\Delta_\lambda u}{\lambda}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}^2.\end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\frac{\eta\Delta_\lambda u}{\lambda}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}^2(t)+(C_1\gamma_1-4\epsilon)\int_0^t\frac{I_\lambda(u)}{\lambda^2}\\&\leq\left[\|\frac{\eta\Delta_\lambda u}{\lambda}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}^2(0)+\int_0^t h(s)\exp\left(-\int_0^s r(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right)\mathrm{d}s\right]\exp\int_0^t r(s)\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

Assume that $u_0\in V_2$, then it implies that for all $t\in[0,T]$

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\frac{\eta\Delta_\lambda u}{\lambda}\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}^2(t)+(C_1\gamma_1-4\epsilon)\int_0^t\frac{I_\lambda(u)}{\lambda^2}\\&\leq\left[\|\nabla u_0^*\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2+\int_0^1(h(s)+r(s))\exp\left(-\int_0^s r(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau\right)\mathrm{d}s\right]\exp\int_0^t r(s)\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

Choose $\epsilon=\frac{C_1\gamma_1}{8}$ and from (3.9),(3.10), we conclude for any $t\in[0,T]$

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_r)}^2(t)+\int_0^t I(u)\leq C.\tag{3.11}$$

where $I(u)=\int_{\Omega_r}(1+2|D(u)|)^{p-2}|D(\nabla u)|^2\mathrm{d}x$ and C depends on $\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)},p,a,u_0,f,T,r$. Since

$$\int_0^t\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^p(\Omega_r)}^p\mathrm{d}x\leq\int_0^t I(u)+\int_0^t\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega_r)}^p$$

for all $t\in[0,T]$, thus $\|u\|_{L^p(0,T;W^{2,p}(\Omega_r))}\leq C$. Multiply the first equation of (3.7) by η^2u_t and integrate on the Ω_{3r}, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\eta u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_{3r})}^2(t)+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\eta^2\Phi(D(u))\|_{L^1(\Omega_{3r})}\\&\leq 2\int_{\Omega_{3r}}|\nabla\eta S(D(u))\eta u_t|\mathrm{d}x+\int_{\Omega_{3r}}V^*\cdot\nabla u\eta^2u_t\mathrm{d}x\\&+2\int_{\Omega_{3r}}\pi\nabla\eta\cdot\eta u_t\mathrm{d}x+\int_{\Omega_{3r}}f\cdot\eta^2u_t\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

Since $\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p'}<1$, by Hölder inequality, one can

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Omega_{3r}} |\nabla\eta S(D(u))\eta u_t|\mathrm{d}x \leq \|\nabla\eta\|_{L^q(\Omega_{3r})}\|S(D(u))\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})}\|\eta u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_{3r})}\\ &\int_{\Omega_{3r}} V^*\cdot\nabla u\eta^2 u_t\mathrm{d}x \leq C\|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}\|\eta\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2r})}\|\eta u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_{3r})}\\ &\int_{\Omega_{3r}} \pi\nabla\eta\cdot\eta u_t\mathrm{d}x+\int_{\Omega_{3r}} f\cdot\eta^2 u_t\mathrm{d}x \leq C\|\nabla\eta+\eta\|_{L^q(\Omega_{3r})}\|\pi+f\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega_{3r})}\|\eta u_t\|_{L^2(\Omega_{3r})}.\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Young's inequality, we can obtain that

$$\|\eta u_t\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_{3r}\times(0,t))}+\|\eta^2\Phi(D(u))\|_{L^\infty(0,t;L^1(\Omega_{3r}))}\leq K$$

where K depends only on $p, a, u_0, f, r, \|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}, \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^3\times(0,T))}$.

In fact, from this proof, we can see that the bound depends on the measure of Ω and Ω'. Hence, if the radius of the ball B is fixed, then $\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^p(0,T;L^pB)}\leq C$, consequently, $u\in C^\gamma(B)$. We use the following argument (see [27]) to know that $u(x,t)\to 0$ for almost$t\in(0,T)$, as $|x|\to\infty$. Let the radius B be one, suppose that there exist $\epsilon>0$ and a sequence $\{x_n\}\subset\mathbb{R}^3$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty}|x_n|\to\infty$, such that for almost $t\in(0,T)$, $u(x_n,t)\geq\epsilon$. By the continuity of $u(x,t)$, then we get that if $|x-x_n|\leq\delta=\min\{1,(\frac{\epsilon}{2C})^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\}$ then $u(x,t)\geq\frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $|x_i-x_j|>2$ provided that $i\neq j$ thus

$$\int_0^T(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|u|^{p^*}\mathrm{d}x)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}\mathrm{d}t\geq\sum_j\int_0^T(\int_{B(x_j)}|u|^{p^*}\mathrm{d}x)^{\frac{p}{p^*}}\mathrm{d}t=+\infty.$$

and this contradict with the fact $u\in L^p(0,T;V_p(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

From the fact $u(x,t)\to 0$ a.e. $t\in(0,T)$, as $|x|\to\infty$ and estimate (3.13), we can conclude that the weak solution is unique. Actually, assume that u, v are that weak solutions of problem (3.8), then set $e=u-v$ and multiply the difference of the equations of u and v by e. After integrate over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$(\partial_t e, e)+(S(D(u))-S(D(v)), D(u)-D(v))=0.$$

It reduces to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|e\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}+(S(D(u))-S(D(v)), D(u)-D(v))=0 \tag{3.13}$$

Use Gronwall's inequality in (3.14), we have $e=0$, i.e. $u=v$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}&u^*=(u_1(x_1,x_2,-x_3), u_2(x_1,x_2,-x_3), -u_3(x_1,x_2,-x_3)\\ &\pi^*=\pi(x_1,x_2,-x_3),\ \forall x\in\mathbb{R}^3.\end{aligned}$$

Then by the method in [13], the couple (u^*, π^*) is also a solution to problem (3.4) a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^3_+ \times (0,T)$. Hence by the uniqueness, we know that $u^* = u$.

From the regularity of u, and Theorem 7.1 of [13] and Sobolev imbedding theorems, we know the solution $u(t)$ to problem (1.1) is simply the restriction of $u^*(t)$ to the half-space $\mathbb{R}^3_+$, and $\|\nabla u^*\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,T))} \le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3_+\times(0,T))}$, $\|u^*\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le C\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H)}$, and $u(x)$ is a continuous function on B_r for any $r > 0$. So that from $u_3(x) = -u_3(x_1, x_2, -x_3)$ we know that $u_3|_{x_3=0} = 0$. Analogously $u_i(x) = u_i(x_1, x_2, -x_3)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3 (i = 1, 2)$, satisfy the conditions (1.5) in the sense of trace.

The theorem is completely proved. $\square$

By the minor modification of the proof in theorem above, we can obtain the regularity results in the case $p \ge 2$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $p \ge 2, f \in L^{p'}(Q_T) \cap L^2(Q_T)$, $u_0 \in V_2 \cap H$ satisfies the boundary conditions (1.6) , and S given by a p-potential from Definition 1. If $u \in L^p(0,T;V_p) \cap L^\infty(0,T;H)$ is the weak solution for problem (1.1), then this solution is also a unique strong solution to problem (1.1) such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{1,2}(\Omega'))\cap L^2(0,T;W^{2,2}(\Omega'))} \le C(\delta(\Omega', \Omega), |\Omega'|, u_0, f, T, \|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}),$$

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega'} (1 + |D(u)|)^{p-2} |\nabla D(u)|^2 \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \le C(\delta(\Omega', \Omega), |\Omega'|, u_0, f, T, \|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}).$$

$$\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times\Omega')} + \|\Phi(D(u))\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^1(\Omega'))} \le C(\delta(\Omega', \Omega), |\Omega'|, u_0, f, T, \|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}).$$

$$\|\pi\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\Omega')} \le C(\delta(\Omega', \Omega), |\Omega'|, u_0, f, T, \|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}).$$

Remark 3.6. *Since* $\mathrm{div}V = 0$*, thus if u is a weak solution of problem (1.1), then we can obtain the following estimates*

$$\|u\|^2_{L^\infty(0,T;H)} + \|u\|^p_{L^p(0,T;V_p)} \le C(\|u_0\|^2_H + \|f\|^{p'}_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^3_+)\times(0,T)}). \tag{3.14}$$

It is easy to see that C does not depend on $a, r, |\Omega'|, u_0, f, \|V\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\Omega)}$.

From these regularity estimates presented in Theorem 3.4 and lemma 3.5, we can obtain the existence of unique weak solution to problem (1.1) stated by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $p > \frac{9}{5}, f \in L^{p'}(Q_T) \cap L^2(Q_T), V \in L^\infty((0,T), W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^3_+)), u_0 \in V \cap H$, and S given by a p-potential from Definition 2.1. Then there exists a unique weak solution for problem (1.1), $u \in L^p(0,T;V_p) \cap L^\infty(0,T;H)$ and satisfies the inequality (3.14).*

Proof. From the proof in Theorem 3.4, it is easy to see that the weak solution is unique. We will use standard Galerkin method to prove its existence.

Let

$$\mathbb{R}^3_+ = \bigcup_{R=1}^{\infty} \Omega_R = \bigcup_{R=1}^{\infty} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3_+ : |x| \leq R\}.$$

Fix $R > 0$, we consider the auxiliary problem (2.7) for the initial $u_0^R = P(\chi_{\Omega_R}(x)u_0(x))$ and external force term $f^R = \chi_{\Omega_R}(x)f$.

As Lemma 3.5 in [31], we construct a sequence of solenoidal vector functions V_R defined in Ω_R such that $V_R \to V$ in $L^p(0,T;V_p(\mathbb{R}^3_+))$ as $R \to \infty$, $\|V_R\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W^{2,2}(\Omega_R))} \leq C$, here C depends only on the Geometry of Ω_R, but does not depend on the measure of Ω_R. We also know that $u_0^R \to u_0$ in $V_2 \cap H$ and$f^R \to f$ in $L^{p'}(Q)$, as $R \to \infty$.

Choose the sequence $\{a_k^R\}$ is the eigenvector of the operator A as in Proposition 2.8, then $\{a_k^R\}$ is a basis $W^{2,2}(\Omega_R) \cap V_2(\Omega_R)$. We look for the weak solution to the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \mathrm{div} S(D(u)) + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla\pi = f, & \text{in } \ \Omega_R \times (0,T) \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, & \text{in } \ \Omega_R \times (0,T), \\ u_3 = 0, \quad \dfrac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_3} = \dfrac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_3} = 0 & \text{on } \ \Gamma_R^1 \times (0,T), \\ \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \ \Gamma_R^2 \times (0,T), \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0(x), & \text{in } \ \Omega_R. \end{cases}$$

We find the approximation solutions with the form

$$u_m^R(x,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k,m}^R(t) a_k^R(x).$$

For simplicity, in the clear meaning setting, we omit the superscript R. Therefore, $c_{k,m}(t)$ solve the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(u_m, a_k) + (S(D(u_m)), D(a_k)) - (V \otimes u_m, \nabla a_k) = (f, a_k). \tag{3.15}$$

Due to the continuity of S, V, the local-in-time existence follows from Caratheodory theory. The global-in-time existence will be established by the following a-priori estimates.

Multiply the equations (3.15) by $c_{k,m}$, then sum over k and integrate on $(0,t)$. We easily obtain

$$\|u_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_R)} + \int_0^t (S(D(u_m)), D(u_m)) = (f, u_m) + \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_R)}. \tag{3.16}$$

Hence

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T} \|u_m\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_R)}(t) + \|u_m\|^p_{L^p(0,T;V_p(\Omega_R))} \le \|u_0\|^2_H + \|f\|^{p'}_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^3)\times(0,T)} := M. \tag{3.17}$$

From (3.15) and (3.17), we infer that $\|u'_m\|_{L^{p'}(0,T;(V_p(\Omega_R))^*)} \le C(R,M)$.

From these estimates, and Aubin-Lions Lemma, we have

$$u'_m \rightharpoonup u', \qquad \text{weakly in } L^{p'}(0,T;V_p(\Omega_R)^*); \tag{3.18}$$

$$u_m \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} u, \qquad \text{weakly}^* \text{ in } L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega_R)); \tag{3.19}$$

$$u_m \rightharpoonup u, \qquad \text{weakly in } L^p(0,T;V_p(\Omega_R)),; \tag{3.20}$$

$$u_m \longrightarrow u, \qquad \text{strongly in } L^q(0,T;L^q(\Omega_R)) \ q \in [1, \frac{5p}{3}); \tag{3.21}$$

$$S(D(u^R_m)) \rightharpoonup \tilde{S}^R, \quad \text{weakly in } L^{p'}(\Omega_R \times (0,T)). \tag{3.22}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\langle\, u_t, a_k \,\rangle + (\tilde{S}^R, D(a_k)) - (V\cdot\nabla a_k, u) = (f, a_k). \tag{3.23}$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.23) by $c_{k,m}$ and summing over k we find

$$\langle\, u_t, u_m \,\rangle + (\tilde{S}^R, D(u_m)) - (V\cdot\nabla u_m, u) = (f, u_m). \tag{3.24}$$

Let us pass to the limit for $m\to\infty$ in to (3.24). By the convergence properties (3.18), (3.20) and (3.22), we know that as $m\to\infty$

$$\int_0^T \langle\, u_t, u_m \,\rangle \to \int_0^T \langle\, u_t, u \,\rangle = \|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_R)} - \|u_0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_R)},$$

$$\int_0^T (f, u_m) \to \int_0^T (f,u), \quad \int_0^T (\tilde{S}^R, D(u_m)) \to \int_0^T (\tilde{S}^R, D(u)).$$

Since

$$(V\cdot\nabla u_m, u) - (V\cdot\nabla u, u) = \int_{\Omega_R} (u_{\eta(\varepsilon)} \otimes u)\cdot\nabla(u_m - u)\mathrm{d}x$$

From (3.20) and (3.21), we know that $V\otimes u \in L^{p'}(\Omega_R\times(0,T))$ whenever $p > \frac{9}{5}$. Hence $\int_0^T (V\cdot\nabla u_m, u) \to 0$ as $m\to\infty$, since $(V\cdot\nabla u, u) = 0$. Subtracting (3.24) by (3.15) and passing to limit as $m\to\infty$, we get

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \int_0^T (S(D(u^R_m)), D(u_m))\mathrm{d}t = \int_0^T (\tilde{S^R}, D(u))\mathrm{d}t \tag{3.25}$$

By the monotonicity property (2.4), we can write the following inequality

$$\int_0^T (S(D(u_m^R)) - S(D(\Psi)), (D(u_m) - D(\Psi)))\mathrm{d}t \geq 0, \tag{3.26}$$

For any $\Psi \in L^p(0,T;V_p(\Omega))$. Thus, pass to the limit as m goes to infinity into this relation and using (3.20), (3.22)and (3.25), we have

$$\int_0^T (\tilde{S}^R - S(D(\Psi)), D(u) - D(\Psi))\mathrm{d}t \geq 0. \tag{2.27}$$

For all $\Psi \in L^p(0,T;V_p(\Omega))$, Take $\Psi = u - \epsilon\varphi$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $\varphi \in L^p(0,T;V_p(\Omega))$, we have that

$$\int_0^T (\tilde{S}^R - S(D(u - \epsilon\varphi)), D(\varphi))\mathrm{d}t \geq 0,$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$ and using the continuity of S, we arrive at

$$\int_0^T (\tilde{S}^R - S(D(u)), D(\varphi))\mathrm{d}t \geq 0, \forall\varphi \in L^p(0,T;V_p(\Omega))$$

Choose $-\varphi$ in place of φ, we get

$$\int_0^T (\tilde{S}^R - S(D(u)), D(\varphi))\mathrm{d}t \leq 0, \forall\varphi \in L^p(0,T;V_p(\Omega))$$

This implies that $\tilde{S}^R = S(D(u))$ a.e. $\Omega_R \times (0,T)$. Thus the existence of weak solution u^R to problem above is proved.

Next we must consider the limits as R tend to ∞. Now we choose a sequence of real number $\{R_N : N \in \mathbf{N}\}$ increasing to infinity. We set $u_N = u^{R_N}$ and extend u_N to zero outside Ω_{R_N} to obtain a function still denote $u_N \in L^\infty(0,T;H) \cap L^p(0,T;V_p)$ and satisfies the following apriori estimate

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T} \|u_N\|_H(t) + \|u_N\|_{L^p(0,T;V_p)} \leq \|u_0\|_H^2 + \|f\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^3)\times(0,T)}^{p'} := M. \tag{3.28}$$

Clearly, M is independent of N. From (3.28) and interpolation inequality we have $\|V_N \otimes u_N\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}_+^3\times(0,T))} \leq C(M)$. let $Y = V_p$, Therefore, from the equations (3.23) we obtain

$$\|u_N'\|_{L^{p'}(0,T;Y^*)} \leq \|S(D(u_N))\|_{L^{p'}(Q)} + \|V_N \otimes u_N\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C(M).$$

By the Aubin-Lions Lemma, we know that there exists a subsequence $u_{N_k} \to u$ in $L^p(\Omega_R \times (0,T))$, thus $u_{N_k} \to u$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}_+^3 \times [0,T]$.

We choose $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^3} \times [0,T))$ with $\mathrm{div}\phi = 0$, $\phi_3|_{x_3=0} = 0$, and $\mathrm{supp}\phi \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^3} \times [0,T)$. There exists a number $K = K(\phi) > 0$ such that $G = \mathrm{supp}\phi \subsetneqq \Omega_{N_K} \times [0,T)$ for $k > K$. From the formula (2.6), we can obtain the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} &-\int_Q (u_{N_k} \cdot \partial_t \phi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t + \int_Q S(x,t,D(u_{N_k})) : D(\phi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \\ &-\int_Q (V_{N_k} \otimes u_{N_k}) : D(\phi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} u_0 \cdot \phi(0)\mathrm{d}x. \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

From the estimate (3.28), we know that $u_{N_k} \rightharpoonup u$ in $L^2(Q)$, $S(x,t,D(u_{N_k}) \rightharpoonup \tilde{S}$ in the space $L^{p'}(Q)$ and $|V_{N_k} \otimes u_{N_k}|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C\|u_0\|_H \|V\|_{L^\infty(G)}$. By Vitali's theorem, we have as $k \to \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_Q (u_{N_k} \cdot \partial_t \phi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \to \int_Q (u \cdot \partial_t \phi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t, \\ &\int_Q (V_{N_k} \otimes u_{N_k}) : D(\phi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \to \int_Q (V_{N_k} \otimes u) : D(\phi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned}$$

From these convergence and the formula (3.29), we know that

$$\int_Q (S(x,t,D(u_{N_k})) : D(\phi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \to \int_Q (\tilde{S} : D(\phi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t.$$

One must check that $S(x,t,D(u)) = \tilde{S}$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}_+^3 \times [0,T]$. It suffices to prove that

$$\int_Q (S(x,t,D(u_{N_k})) : D(\phi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \to \int_Q (S(D(u)) : D(\phi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t.$$

Indeed, observing that for any $R_N > R_{N_K}$ the solutions u_N satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.4 and lemma 3.5 with $\Omega = \Omega_{N_K}$ and fixed a domain Ω' such that $G \subset \Omega' \times (0,T) \subset\subset \Omega \times (0,T)$, we get that $u_N \in L^p(0,T; W^{2,p}(\Omega'))$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u'_{N_k}\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\Omega')} + \|\nabla u_{N_k}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega'))} \\ &+ \|\nabla^2 u_{N_k}\|_{L^p(\Omega'\times(0,T))} \leq C(\delta(\Omega',\Omega), |\Omega'|, u_0, f, T, \|V\|_{L^\infty(G)}), \text{ in the case } \frac{9}{5} < p < 2; \\ &\|u'_{N_k}\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\Omega')} + \|\nabla u_{N_k}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega'))} \\ &+ \|\nabla^2 u_{N_k}\|_{L^2(\Omega'\times(0,T))} \leq C(\delta(\Omega',\Omega), |\Omega'|, u_0, f, T, \|V\|_{L^\infty(G)}), \text{ in the case } p \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

From the boundedness above and the Aubin-Lions lemma we obtain that

$$\nabla u_{N_k} \to \nabla u \text{ in } L^p(\Omega' \times (0,T)), \quad \nabla u_{N_k} \to \nabla u \text{ a.e. in } G.$$

Therefore, $S(x,t,D(u_{N_k}))\to S(x,t,D(u))$ a.e. in G, then by Vitali's theorem, we obtain as $k\to\infty$

$$\int_Q((S(x,t,D(u_{N_k}))-(S(D(u))):D(\phi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t=$$
$$\int_G((S(x,t,D(u_{N_k}))-(S(D(u))):D(\phi)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\to 0.$$

Whence, this proves the theorem. ☐

References

[1] E. Acerbi, G. Mingione and G.A. Seregin, Regularity results for parabolic systems related to a class of non-Newtonian fluids, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non linéaire* 21(2004),25-60.

[2] R. Adams and J. J. F. Fournier, Sobolev Spaces, 2nd edit. Elsevier(Singapore)Pte Ltd. 2009.

[3] H.Amann, Stability of the rest state of a viscous incompressible fluid, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* 126(1994), 231-242.

[4] H. Bae, Existence and regularity of solutions of nion-Newtonian flow, *Quart. Appl. Math.* 58(2000) no.2 379-400.

[5] H. Beirão da Veiga , On the regularity of flows with Ladyzhenskaya shear-dependent viscosity and slip or nonslip boundary conditions, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 57(2004),1-26.

[6] H. Beirão da Veiga, Navier-Stokes equations with shear thickening viscosity: Regularity up to boundary, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* (2008) DOI: 10.1007/s00021-008-0257-2.

[7] H. Beirão da Veiga, Navier-Stokes equations with shear thinning viscosity: Regularity up to boundary, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* (2008) DOI: 10.1007/s00021-008-0257-1.

[8] H. Beirão da Veiga, On the Ladyzhenskaya-Smagorinsky turbulence model of the Navier-Stokes equations in smooth domains. The regularity problem, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 11(2009) 127-167.

[9] H. Beirão da Veiga, Turbulence models, $p-$fluid flows, and $W^{2,l}-$regularity of solutions, *Comm. Pure Appl.Anal.* 8 (2009), no. 2, 769-783.

[10] H. Beirão da Veiga, On the global regularity of shear shinning flows in the smooth domains, *J. Math . Anal. Appl.*(2008), DOI: 10.1016/j.jmaaa. 2008.09.009.

[11] H. Beirão da Veiga,; P. Kaplický, ; M. Růžička, Regularity theorems, up to the boundary, for shear thickening flows.*C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 348 (2010), no. 9-10, 541?544.

[12] H. Beirão da Veiga and F. Crispo,Concerning the $W^{k,p}-$inviscid limit for $3D$ flows under a slip boundary condition, *J. Math. Fluid. Mech.* DOI 10.1007/s00021-009-0012-3.

[13] H. Beirão da Veiga, F. Crispo and C.R. Grisanti, On the reduction of the PDE's problems in the half-space, under the slip boundary condition, to the corresponding problems in the whole space, *Preprint* 2011.

[14] L.C. Berselli, L. Diening and M. Růžička, Existence of strong solutions for incompressible fluids with shear dependent viscosities, *J.Math. Fluid Mech.* 12(2005)no.1,101-132.

[15] R.B. Bird, R.C. Armstrong and O. Hassager, *Dynamic of Polymer Liquids* , 2nd edition, John Wiley, 1987.

[16] D. Bothe and J. Prüss, L^p-theory for a class of non-Newtonian fluids, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 39(2007) no.2,379-421.

[17] M. Bulíček, F. Ettwein, P. Kaplický and D. Pražák, Time regularity of non-Newtonian flow, submitted, 2007.

[18] M. Bulíček, J. Málek and K.R.Rajagopal, Navier's slip and evolutionary Navier-Stokes-like systems with pressure and shear-rate dependent viscosity, *J. Indiana Univ. math.* 56(2007) no.1 51-85.

[19] F. Crispo, Shear thinning viscous fluids in cylindrical domain. Regularity up to the boundary, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* 10(2008), 311-325.

[20] F. Crispo, Global regularity of a class of $p-$fluid flow in cylinders, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341(2008), 559-574.

[21] L. Diening and M. Růžička, Strong solutions for generalized Newtonian fluids, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* 7(2005) 413-450.

[22] L. Diening, J. Málek and M. Steinhauser, On the Lipschitz truncations of Sobolev functions (with variable exponent) and their selected applications, *ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations*(2008), DOI: 10.1051/cocv:2007049.

[23] L. Diening, M. Růžička, J. Wolf, Existence of weak solutions for unsteady motions of generalized Newtonian fluids, *Ann.Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa CL. Sci. (5)*, IX(2010), 1-46.

[24] C. Ebmeyer, Regularity in Sobolev spaces of steady flows of fluids with shear-depnedent viscosity, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 29(2006), 1687-1707.

[25] J. Frehse, J. Málek and M. Steinhauser, An existence result for fluids with shear dependent viscosity-steady flows, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 30(1997) no.5, 3041-3049.

[26] J. Frehse, J. Málek and M. Steinhauser, On the analysis of steady flows of fluids with shear-dependent viscosity based on the Lipschitz truncation method, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 34(2003) no.5,1064-1083.

[27] P. Galdi and R. Grisanti, Existence and regularity of steady flows for shear-thinning liquids in exterior two-dimensional domains, *Arch. Rat. Math. Anal.* Preprint.

[28] J. Málek, J. Nečas, M.Rokyta and M. Růžička, *Weak and measure-valued solutions to evolutionary PDEs*, Chapman & Hall, London, 1996.

[29] J. Málek, J. Nečas, and M. Růžička, On the weak solutions to a class of non-Newtonian incompressible fluids in bounded three-dimensional domains. The case $p \geq 2$, *Adv. Diff. Equ.* 6(2001), 257-302.

[30] Málek and K.R. Rajagopal, Mathematical issues concerning the Navier-Stokes equations and some of its generalizations, in: evolutionary equations, Vol.II, 371-459, *Handb. Differ. Equ.*, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2005.

[31] P. Maremonti, Some theorems of existence for solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations with slip boundary conditions in half-space, *Recerche di Matematica* vol.XL, fasc.1, (1991), 81-135.

[32] V.A. Kondrat'ev and O.A. Oleinik, Boundary-value problems for the system of elasticity theory in unbounded donmains. Korn's inequalities, *Russian Math. Surveys* 43:5(1988) 65-119.

[33] O.A. Ladyžhenska, New equation for description of motion of viscous incompressible fluids and solvability in the large boundary value problems for them, *Proc. Stek. Inst. Math.* 102(1967), 95-118.

[34] O.A. Ladyžhenska, On some modification of the Navier-Stokes equations for large gradients of velocity, *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Stek.(LOMI)* 7(1968), 126-154.

[35] O.A. Ladyžhenska, *The mathematical theory of incompressible flow*, 2nd edition, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.

[36] J.L. Lions, Quelques Methodes de Résolution des problèmes aus limites Nonlinéaires, *Dunod Paris* (1969).

[37] J. Naumann, J.Wolf, Interior differentiability of weak solutions to the equations of stationary motion of a class of non-Newtonian fluids, *J.Math. Fluid Mech.* 7(2005),298-313.

[38] Navier, C.L.M.: Mémoire sur les lois du mouvement des fluides. Mémoires de l'Académie Royale des Sciences de lInstitut de France, vol. 1 (1816)

[39] M.Pokorný, Olomouc, Cauchy Problem for the non-Newtonian viscous incompressible fluid, *Appl. Math.* 41(1996)no.3, 169-201.

[40] T.N. Shinlkin, Regularity up to boundary of solutions to boundary-value problems of the theory of generalized Newtonian liquids, *J. Math. Sci. (New York)*92(6)(1998), 4386-4403. Some questions of mathematical physics and function theory.

[41] J. Wolf, Existence of weak solutions to the equations of non-Stationary motion of non-Newtonian fluids with shear rate dependent viscosity, *J.Math. Fluid Mech.* 9(2007)104-138.

[42] Y.L. Xiao and Z.P. Xin, On the vanishing viscosity limit for the Navier-Stokes equations with a slip boundary condition, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*,60(2007), 1027-1055.